

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 ROBERT E. COLEMAN,) CASE NO. CV 09-9524-PA (PJW)
11 Plaintiff,)
12 v.) ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND
13 F.B. HAWS, et al.,) RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES
14 Defendants.) MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15 _____)

16 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sec. 636, the Court has reviewed the First
17 Amended Complaint, the records on file, and the Final Report and
18 Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the
19 Court has engaged in a *de novo* review of those portions of the Report
20 to which Plaintiff has objected. The Court accepts the findings and
21 recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

22
23 DATED: June 2, 2011

24 
25
26 _____
27 PERCY ANDERSON
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE